Plan Section	Section Reference	Page #	Specific Comments
Draft 2016 HTF	B. Development of	2	LIHCA recommends that AHFA include
Plan	AHFA's Use and		acquisition and rehabilitation in addition to
	Allocation of Housing		new construction as eligible activities under
	Trust Funds		the National Housing Trust Fund. Reason:
			Alabama has a significant number of
			existing vacant units, both single- and
			multi-family that are in need of repairs.
			Allowing grantees to acquire and
			rehabilitate properties for affordable rental
			housing will not only work towards AHFA's
			goal of creating housing opportunities for
			individuals and families that are homeless
			or at risk of homelessness, but will also
			retain existing housing inventory and
			eliminate blight.
Draft 2016 HTF	H. Minimum Number	4	LIHCA recommends that AHFA remove the
Plan	of Proposed Units		minimum number of units for a single
-			development. Reason: Certain communities
			and/or nonprofits may want to develop a
			duplex or a single family residence (for
			rental) that can better serve their clientele
			and this requirement restricts them of this
			opportunity.
Draft 2016 HTF	J. AHFA Housing	6	LIHCA recommends that AHFA consider
Plan	Priorities and Scoring	Ũ	other vulnerable populations, such as
	Criteria, (1) Points		homeless families and those that are
	Gained, f. Limitation on		chronically homeless, for a preference in
	Beneficiaries or		addition to veterans. Reason : <i>There is a</i>
	Preferences		national initiative to end veterans'
			homelessness. Given this, many veterans
			have access to the Veterans Affairs
			Supportive Housing (VASH) vouchers which
			provide a rental subsidy for veterans to
			access permanent housing. Other
			vulnerable populations, such as homeless
			families and those that are chronically
			homeless, do not have vouchers specific to
			them and also need a rental subsidy to
			access permanent housing.
Draft 2016 HTF	J. AHFA Housing	6	LIHCA recommends that AHFA offer a
Plan	Priorities and Scoring	0	scaled allocation of points awarded for
1 1011	Criteria, (1) Points		projects that remain financially feasible for
	Gained, d. Duration of		longer than the required 30-year
	Affordability Period		affordability period. Our recommendation
			is to allocate points for 35-40 years (5

		points), 41-45 (7 points) and 46-50 (10 points) years. Reason : <i>NHTF funds are to</i> <i>serve households that are extremely low</i> <i>income and/or are at risk of homelessness.</i> <i>Households at this income level are priced</i> <i>out of the current market and must be able</i> <i>to find housing that is affordable to them.</i> <i>Keeping these units affordable for more</i> <i>than 30 years would benefit the households</i> <i>served by NHTF funds.</i>
Draft 2016 HTF Plan	Overall recommendation	LIHCA recommends that the NHTF Allocation Plan include language encouraging the integration of NHTF- assisted units with other, higher income targeting units. Reason : Mixed income developments discourage concentrations of extremely low income households. Additionally, higher income units can provide higher rental payments which can offset the ongoing operational costs of units that are affordable to households considered to be extremely low income.