

DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	15,754	11,253	71%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	37	-9,924
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	11,758	4,714	40%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	55	-12,261
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	14,190	1,330	9%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	87	-5,435
All Renter Households	74,158	17,534	24%			

Renters make up 30% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	214,776	161,534	75%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	26	-159,599
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	114,883	39,694	35%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	46	-178,791
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	189,348	14,779	8%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	95	-26,141
All Renter Households	923,363	219,298	24%			

Renters make up 37% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale MSA	617,024	\$69,100	\$20,730	\$518	\$815	\$15.67	\$1,013	\$19.48	74	\$17.59
Tucson MSA	152,991	\$60,600	\$18,180	\$455	\$642	\$12.35	\$854	\$16.42	63	\$13.54
Prescott MSA	27,930	\$61,000	\$18,300	\$458	\$670	\$12.88	\$891	\$17.13	65	\$13.16
Lake Havasu City-Kingman MSA	27,313	\$49,600	\$14,880	\$372	\$626	\$12.04	\$797	\$15.33	58	\$13.62
Flagstaff MSA	19,361	\$75,100	\$22,530	\$563	\$920	\$17.69	\$1,129	\$21.71	83	\$12.37
Navajo County	10,294	\$49,200	\$14,760	\$369	\$575	\$11.06	\$722	\$13.88	53	\$12.22
Gila County	6,025	\$49,900	\$14,970	\$374	\$647	\$12.44	\$861	\$16.56	63	\$12.78

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

^{*}Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income.

All Renter Households

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT HOUSING PROFILE



DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

EVEL DENITED CTATICTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	24,362	20,095	82%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	18	-19,874
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	19,691	8,331	42%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	48	-22,780
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	23,204	2,037	9%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	99	-455
All Renter Households	115,431	31,216	27%			

Renters make up 40% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

Total Renter

Households

<u> </u>	IAIC-LE	VELKENIEKSTATISTICS		
Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
161 534	750/	Income at or bolow 30%** of AMI	26	150 500

Income at or below 30%** of AMI 214,776 161,534 75% Income at or below 30%** of AMI 26 -159,599 Income between 31% and 50% of AMI 114,883 39,694 35% Income at or below 50% of AMI 46 -178,791 Income between 51% and 80% of AMI 189,348 14,779 8% Income at or below 80% of AMI 95 -26,141

24%

Renters make up 37% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

923,363

219,298

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Tucson MSA	152,991	\$60,600	\$18,180	\$455	\$642	\$12.35	\$854	\$16.42	63	\$13.54
Sierra Vista-Douglas MSA	15,850	\$58,400	\$17,520	\$438	\$621	\$11.94	\$779	\$14.98	57	\$12.43

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

^{*}Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income.

All Renter Households

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT HOUSING PROFILE



DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	21,840	16,780	77%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	27	-16,014
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	16,795	6,690	40%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	47	-20,345
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	18,117	1,279	7%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	95	-2,613
All Renter Households	88,871	24,816	28%			

Renters make up 39% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS											
	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units					
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	214,776	161,534	75%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	26	-159,599					
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	114,883	39,694	35%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	46	-178,791					
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	189,348	14,779	8%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	95	-26,141					

Renters make up 37% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

923,363

219,298

24%

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale MSA	617,024	\$69,100	\$20,730	\$518	\$815	\$15.67	\$1,013	\$19.48	74	\$17.59
Tucson MSA	152,991	\$60,600	\$18,180	\$455	\$642	\$12.35	\$854	\$16.42	63	\$13.54
Yuma MSA	23,098	\$47,000	\$14,100	\$353	\$601	\$11.56	\$800	\$15.38	59	\$11.67
Santa Cruz County	5,250	\$46,600	\$13,980	\$350	\$535	\$10.29	\$711	\$13.67	52	\$10.92

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

^{*}Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income.



DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	13,489	10,509	78%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	22	-10,526
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	13,683	6,104	45%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	44	-15,315
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	17,058	1,867	11%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	89	-5,013
All Renter Households	80,663	18,729	23%			

Renters make up 28% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	214,776	161,534	75%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	26	-159,599
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	114,883	39,694	35%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	46	-178,791
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	189,348	14,779	8%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	95	-26,141
All Renter Households	923,363	219,298	24%			

Renters make up 37% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale MSA	617,024	\$69,100	\$20,730	\$518	\$815	\$15.67	\$1,013	\$19.48	74	\$17.59
Prescott MSA	27,930	\$61,000	\$18,300	\$458	\$670	\$12.88	\$891	\$17.13	65	\$13.16
Lake Havasu City-Kingman MSA	27,313	\$49,600	\$14,880	\$372	\$626	\$12.04	\$797	\$15.33	58	\$13.62
Yuma MSA	23,098	\$47,000	\$14,100	\$353	\$601	\$11.56	\$800	\$15.38	59	\$11.67
Gila County	6,025	\$49,900	\$14,970	\$374	\$647	\$12.44	\$861	\$16.56	63	\$12.78
La Paz County	2,296	\$43,800	\$13,140	\$329	\$571	\$10.98	\$760	\$14.62	56	\$10.51

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

^{*}Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income.



DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	10,654	9,458	89%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	12	-9,416
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	10,036	4,814	48%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	31	-14,214
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	14,110	2,010	14%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	85	-5,247
All Renter Households	74,388	16,824	23%			

Renters make up 28% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	214,776	161,534	75%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	26	-159,599
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	114,883	39,694	35%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	46	-178,791
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	189,348	14,779	8%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	95	-26,141
All Renter Households	923,363	219,298	24%			

Renters make up 37% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale MSA	617,024	\$69,100	\$20,730	D \$518	\$815	\$15.67	\$1,013	\$19.48	74	\$17.59

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

^{*}Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income.



DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	16,165	13,886	86%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	14	-13,932
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	14,274	6,128	43%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	39	-18,599
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	21,304	3,106	15%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	90	-5,150
All Renter Households	107,817	23,822	22%			

Renters make up 36% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	214,776	161,534	75%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	26	-159,599
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	114,883	39,694	35%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	46	-178,791
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	189,348	14,779	8%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	95	-26,141
All Renter Households	923,363	219,298	24%			

Renters make up 37% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	АМІ	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale MSA	617,024	\$69,100	\$20,730	D \$518	\$815	\$15.67	\$1,013	\$19.48	74	\$17.59

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

^{*}Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income.



DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	40,360	32,117	80%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	16	-33,705
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	26,584	6,544	25%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	59	-27,122
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	25,700	1,190	5%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	105	4,290
All Renter Households	123,957	39,904	32%			

Renters make up 56% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	214,776	161,534	75%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	26	-159,599
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	114,883	39,694	35%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	46	-178,791
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	189,348	14,779	8%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	95	-26,141
All Renter Households	923,363	219,298	24%			

Renters make up 37% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale MSA	617,024	\$69,100	\$20,730	D \$518	\$815	\$15.67	\$1,013	\$19.48	74	\$17.59

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

^{*}Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income.



DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	11,542	9,927	86%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	15	-9,835
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	10,328	5,722	55%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	32	-14,923
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	16,955	2,551	15%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	88	-4,587
All Renter Households	78,244	18,870	24%			

Renters make up 28% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	214,776	161,534	75%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	26	-159,599
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	114,883	39,694	35%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	46	-178,791
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	189,348	14,779	8%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	95	-26,141
All Renter Households	923,363	219,298	24%			

Renters make up 37% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale MSA	617,024	\$69,100	\$20,730	D \$518	\$815	\$15.67	\$1,013	\$19.48	74	\$17.59

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

^{*}Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income.



DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	30,189	26,207	87%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	12	-26,679
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	23,526	9,055	38%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	39	-32,909
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	29,967	2,358	8%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	97	-2,715
All Renter Households	151,724	38,289	25%			

Renters make up 52% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

Total Renter

Households

<u> </u>	IAIC-LO	VELKENIEKSTATISTICS		
Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
161 E24	750/	Income at an halow 200/ ** of AMI	26	150 500

Income at or below 30%** of AMI 214,776 161,534 75% Income at or below 30%** of AMI 26 -159,599 Income between 31% and 50% of AMI 114,883 39,694 35% Income at or below 50% of AMI 46 -178,791 Income between 51% and 80% of AMI 189,348 14,779 8% Income at or below 80% of AMI 95 -26,141

All Renter Households 923,363 219,298 24%

Renters make up 37% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	АМІ	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale MSA	617,024	\$69,100	\$20,730	0 \$518	\$815	\$15.67	\$1,013	\$19.48	74	\$17.59

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

^{*}Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income.



DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	15,754	11,253	71%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	37	-9,924
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	11,758	4,714	40%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	55	-12,261
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	14,190	1,330	9%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	87	-5,435
All Renter Households	74,158	17,534	24%			

Renters make up 30% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	214,776	161,534	75%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	26	-159,599
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	114,883	39,694	35%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	46	-178,791
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	189,348	14,779	8%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	95	-26,141
All Renter Households	923,363	219,298	24%			

Renters make up 37% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale MSA	617,024	\$69,100	\$20,730	\$518	\$815	\$15.67	\$1,013	\$19.48	74	\$17.59
Tucson MSA	152,991	\$60,600	\$18,180	\$455	\$642	\$12.35	\$854	\$16.42	63	\$13.54
Prescott MSA	27,930	\$61,000	\$18,300	\$458	\$670	\$12.88	\$891	\$17.13	65	\$13.16
Lake Havasu City-Kingman MSA	27,313	\$49,600	\$14,880	\$372	\$626	\$12.04	\$797	\$15.33	58	\$13.62
Flagstaff MSA	19,361	\$75,100	\$22,530	\$563	\$920	\$17.69	\$1,129	\$21.71	83	\$12.37
Navajo County	10,294	\$49,200	\$14,760	\$369	\$575	\$11.06	\$722	\$13.88	53	\$12.22
Gila County	6,025	\$49,900	\$14,970	\$374	\$647	\$12.44	\$861	\$16.56	63	\$12.78

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

^{*}Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income.