

DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	23,841	16,542	69%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	38	-14,775
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	21,233	5,336	25%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	68	-14,228
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	20,197	661	3%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	101	408
All Renter Households	96,047	22,627	24%			

Renters make up 34% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	117,620	76,786	65%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	49	-59,445
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	50,792	9,984	20%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	69	-52,569
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	88,475	3,117	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	104	10,219
All Renter Households	405,627	89,995	22%			

Renters make up 35% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	АМІ	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Little Rock-North Little Rock-Conway HMFA	98,435	\$66,300	\$19,890	\$497	\$676	\$13.00	\$811	\$15.60	73	\$13.89
Jonesboro HMFA	16,041	\$56,200	\$16,860	\$422	\$613	\$11.79	\$743	\$14.29	67	\$10.87
Pine Bluff MSA	12,315	\$47,900	\$14,370	\$359	\$537	\$10.33	\$691	\$13.29	63	\$11.36
Memphis HMFA	7,931	\$64,200	\$19,260	\$482	\$705	\$13.56	\$833	\$16.02	75	\$10.45
Mississippi County	7,279	\$44,300	\$13,290	\$332	\$489	\$9.40	\$651	\$12.52	59	\$15.00
Greene County	5,631	\$54,900	\$16,470	\$412	\$550	\$10.58	\$673	\$12.94	61	\$11.41
Baxter County	4,453	\$48,700	\$14,610	\$365	\$516	\$9.92	\$686	\$13.19	62	\$11.90

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

^{*}Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income.



DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	24,597	18,706	76%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	30	-17,279
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	18,792	5,381	29%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	75	-10,766
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	21,834	745	3%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	111	7,423
All Renter Households	100,890	25,135	25%			

Renters make up 35% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	117,620	76,786	65%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	49	-59,445
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	50,792	9,984	20%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	69	-52,569
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	88,475	3,117	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	104	10,219
All Renter Households	405,627	89,995	22%			

Renters make up 35% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Little Rock-North Little Rock-Conway HMFA	98,435	\$66,300	\$19,890	\$497	\$676	\$13.00	\$811	\$15.60	73	\$13.89
White County	9,409	\$56,500	\$16,950	\$424	\$507	\$9.75	\$657	\$12.63	59	\$10.60
Conway County	2,291	\$51,700	\$15,510	\$388	\$532	\$10.23	\$708	\$13.62	64	\$8.43
Van Buren County	1,473	\$47,200	\$14,160	\$354	\$476	\$9.15	\$633	\$12.17	57	\$10.72

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

^{*}Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income.



DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	22,782	17,255	76%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	23	-17,534
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	19,854	3,861	19%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	68	-13,742
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	23,576	991	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	101	612
All Renter Households	104,284	22,246	21%			

Renters make up 36% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	117,620	76,786	65%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	49	-59,445
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	50,792	9,984	20%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	69	-52,569
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	88,475	3,117	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	104	10,219
All Renter Households	405,627	89,995	22%			

Renters make up 35% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Fayetteville-Springdale-Rogers HMFA	69,424	\$65,400	\$19,620	\$491	\$558	\$10.73	\$723	\$13.90	65	\$16.96
Fort Smith HMFA	25,896	\$52,900	\$15,870	\$397	\$524	\$10.08	\$697	\$13.40	63	\$12.01
Pope County	7,537	\$51,800	\$15,540	\$389	\$492	\$9.46	\$654	\$12.58	59	\$12.04
Boone County	4,169	\$48,800	\$14,640	\$366	\$476	\$9.15	\$633	\$12.17	57	\$11.91
Carroll County	2,608	\$48,000	\$14,400	\$360	\$495	\$9.52	\$655	\$12.60	59	\$11.20
Marion County	1,402	\$45,600	\$13,680	\$342	\$504	\$9.69	\$633	\$12.17	57	\$8.30
Searcy County	644	\$43,000	\$12,900	\$323	\$508	\$9.77	\$633	\$12.17	57	\$5.56

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

^{*}Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income.



DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	20,954	14,719	70%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	34	-13,764
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	17,354	5,194	30%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	60	-15,339
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	17,817	769	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	98	-1,211
All Renter Households	84,519	20,825	25%			

Renters make up 30% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	117,620	76,786	65%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	49	-59,445
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	50,792	9,984	20%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	69	-52,569
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	88,475	3,117	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	104	10,219
All Renter Households	405,627	89,995	22%			

Renters make up 35% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Fayetteville-Springdale-Rogers HMFA	69,424	\$65,400	\$19,620	\$491	\$558	\$10.73	\$723	\$13.90	65	\$16.96
Fort Smith HMFA	25,896	\$52,900	\$15,870	\$397	\$524	\$10.08	\$697	\$13.40	63	\$12.01
Hot Springs MSA	13,585	\$52,700	\$15,810	\$395	\$580	\$11.15	\$771	\$14.83	70	\$9.94
Pine Bluff MSA	12,315	\$47,900	\$14,370	\$359	\$537	\$10.33	\$691	\$13.29	63	\$11.36
Texarkana HMFA	5,974	\$52,200	\$15,660	\$392	\$627	\$12.06	\$795	\$15.29	72	\$11.94
Union County	4,673	\$51,800	\$15,540	\$389	\$549	\$10.56	\$634	\$12.19	57	\$15.18
Ouachita County	3,332	\$45,000	\$13,500	\$338	\$521	\$10.02	\$633	\$12.17	57	\$10.42

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

^{*}Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income.