

DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	22,927	15,029	66%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	41	-13,620
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	17,045	3,858	23%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	72	-11,027
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	17,423	587	3%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	98	-1,255
All Renter Households	85,568	19,502	23%			

Renters make up 30% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	179,539	107,763	60%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	53	-85,225
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	73,326	11,916	16%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	77	-58,250
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	125,891	4,348	3%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	104	13,322
All Renter Households	581,410	124,879	21%			

Renters make up 34% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Clarksville MSA	14,509	\$55,400	\$16,620	\$416	\$660	\$12.69	\$838	\$16.12	89	\$14.52
Owensboro MSA	14,048	\$62,300	\$18,690	\$467	\$537	\$10.33	\$713	\$13.71	76	\$11.85
McCracken County	9,346	\$69,800	\$20,940	\$524	\$540	\$10.38	\$684	\$13.15	73	\$11.96
Evansville MSA	7,366	\$65,100	\$19,530	\$488	\$595	\$11.44	\$769	\$14.79	82	\$11.52
Calloway County	5,606	\$56,700	\$17,010	\$425	\$532	\$10.23	\$702	\$13.50	74	\$6.83
Hopkins County	5,204	\$58,500	\$17,550	\$439	\$473	\$9.10	\$629	\$12.10	67	\$12.54
Graves County	3,822	\$54,800	\$16,440	\$411	\$474	\$9.12	\$620	\$11.92	66	\$8.78

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

^{*}Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income.

All Renter Households

CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT HOUSING PROFILE



DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	21,526	15,107	70%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	35	-13,940
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	16,592	3,383	20%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	70	-11,604
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	18,808	617	3%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	102	999
All Renter Households	86,092	19,231	22%			

Renters make up 31% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

Total

Renter

<u> </u>	I A I L-L			
Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
107,763	60%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	53	-85,225

Households Income at or below 30%** of AMI 179,539 107,763 Income between 31% and 50% of AMI 73,326 11,916 16% Income at or below 50% of AMI 77 -58,250 Income between 51% and 80% of AMI 125,891 4,348 3% Income at or below 80% of AMI 104 13,322

21%

Renters make up 34% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

581,410

124,879

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

CTATE I EVEL DENITED CTATICTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Louisville HMFA	132,222	\$71,500	\$21,450	\$536	\$656	\$12.62	\$821	\$15.79	87	\$15.10
Lexington-Fayette MSA	82,648	\$70,100	\$21,030	\$526	\$639	\$12.29	\$820	\$15.77	87	\$13.22
Bowling Green HMFA	20,553	\$57,400	\$17,220	\$431	\$612	\$11.77	\$777	\$14.94	82	\$12.46
Elizabethtown HMFA	16,623	\$61,500	\$18,450	\$461	\$563	\$10.83	\$749	\$14.40	79	\$12.79
Owensboro MSA	14,048	\$62,300	\$18,690	\$467	\$537	\$10.33	\$713	\$13.71	76	\$11.85
Barren County	5,558	\$50,000	\$15,000	\$375	\$526	\$10.12	\$646	\$12.42	69	\$9.95
Nelson County	4,076	\$62,100	\$18,630	\$466	\$527	\$10.13	\$701	\$13.48	74	\$10.58

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

^{*}Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income.



DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	34,676	24,045	69%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	33	-23,218
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	21,611	4,395	20%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	72	-15,709
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	24,793	678	3%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	102	1,533
All Renter Households	116,270	29,280	25%			

Renters make up 39% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	179,539	107,763	60%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	53	-85,225
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	73,326	11,916	16%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	77	-58,250
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	125,891	4,348	3%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	104	13,322
All Renter Households	581,410	124,879	21%			

Renters make up 34% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	АМІ	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage	
Louisville HMFA	132,222	\$71,500	\$21,450	536	\$656	\$12.62	\$821	\$15.79	87	\$15.10	

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

^{*}Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income.



DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	21,778	14,237	65%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	36	-13,905
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	14,699	2,673	18%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	76	-8,702
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	15,906	316	2%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	99	-428
All Renter Households	77,235	17,303	22%			

Renters make up 28% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

	STATE-	LEVEL	<u>. RENTER</u>	<u> STATISTI</u>	<u>CS</u>
--	--------	-------	-----------------	------------------	-----------

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	179,539	107,763	60%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	53	-85,225
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	73,326	11,916	16%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	77	-58,250
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	125,891	4,348	3%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	104	13,322
All Renter Households	581,410	124,879	21%			

Renters make up 34% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Louisville HMFA	132,222	\$71,500	\$21,450	\$536	\$656	\$12.62	\$821	\$15.79	87	\$15.10
Cincinnati HMFA	48,678	\$78,300	\$23,490	\$587	\$643	\$12.37	\$845	\$16.25	90	\$14.07
Huntington-Ashland HMFA	9,205	\$54,900	\$16,470	\$412	\$575	\$11.06	\$696	\$13.38	74	\$11.57
Shelby County HMFA	5,095	\$75,200	\$22,560	\$564	\$601	\$11.56	\$800	\$15.38	85	\$10.32
Grant County HMFA	2,541	\$52,500	\$15,750	\$394	\$587	\$11.29	\$781	\$15.02	83	\$10.23
Mason County	2,331	\$49,800	\$14,940	\$374	\$505	\$9.71	\$643	\$12.37	68	\$11.72
Harrison County	2,193	\$51,100	\$15,330	\$383	\$540	\$10.38	\$620	\$11.92	66	\$10.43

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

^{*}Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income.



DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	26,513	16,511	62%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	42	-15,359
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	16,446	3,555	22%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	72	-12,141
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	14,100	374	3%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	98	-1,163
All Renter Households	79,319	20,450	26%			

Renters make up 29% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS											
	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units					
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	179,539	107,763	60%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	53	-85,225					
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	73,326	11,916	16%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	77	-58,250					
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	125,891	4,348	3%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	104	13,322					
All Renter Households	581,410	124,879	21%								

Renters make up 34% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Huntington-Ashland HMFA	9,205	\$54,900	\$16,470	\$412	\$575	\$11.06	\$696	\$13.38	74	\$11.57
Pulaski County	7,774	\$47,500	\$14,250	\$356	\$490	\$9.42	\$627	\$12.06	67	\$9.21
Laurel County	7,099	\$45,600	\$13,680	\$342	\$537	\$10.33	\$620	\$11.92	66	\$10.58
Pike County	7,046	\$43,600	\$13,080	\$327	\$507	\$9.75	\$674	\$12.96	72	\$12.37
Floyd County	4,571	\$40,300	\$12,090	\$302	\$527	\$10.13	\$620	\$11.92	66	\$9.90
Knox County	4,269	\$35,500	\$10,650	\$266	\$524	\$10.08	\$620	\$11.92	66	\$8.89
Whitley County	4,011	\$39,400	\$11,820	\$296	\$481	\$9.25	\$639	\$12.29	68	\$12.37

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

^{*}Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income.



DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	32,608	23,146	71%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	30	-22,687
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	22,617	5,135	23%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	71	-16,156
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	23,596	614	3%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	99	-455
All Renter Households	115,285	29,048	25%			

Renters make up 39% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	179,539	107,763	60%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	53	-85,225
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	73,326	11,916	16%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	77	-58,250
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	125,891	4,348	3%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	104	13,322
All Renter Households	581,410	124,879	21%			

Renters make up 34% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	АМІ	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Lexington-Fayette MSA	82,648	\$70,100	\$21,030	\$526	\$639	\$12.29	\$820	\$15.77	87	\$13.22
Madison County	13,146	\$65,900	\$19,770	\$494	\$525	\$10.10	\$698	\$13.42	74	\$10.71
Franklin County	7,855	\$65,200	\$19,560	\$489	\$608	\$11.69	\$736	\$14.15	78	\$12.64
Montgomery County	3,649	\$49,200	\$14,760	\$369	\$520	\$10.00	\$692	\$13.31	73	\$11.65
Harrison County	2,193	\$51,100	\$15,330	\$383	\$540	\$10.38	\$620	\$11.92	66	\$10.43
Anderson County	2,103	\$69,400	\$20,820	\$521	\$645	\$12.40	\$741	\$14.25	79	\$10.58
Estill County	1,708	\$42,600	\$12,780	\$320	\$484	\$9.31	\$620	\$11.92	66	\$9.49

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

^{*}Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income.