

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	21,328	14,700	69%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	36	-13,695
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	18,259	4,823	26%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	61	-15,334
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	18,423	576	3%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	98	-987
All Renter Households	86,719	20,399	24%			

#### Renters make up 30% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

	STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS											
	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units						
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	235,102	151,710	65%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	46	-126,745						
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	120,696	30,498	25%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	68	-115,406						
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	188,450	7,335	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	102	8,705						
All Renter Households	890,499	191,605	22%									

#### Renters make up 34% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

# **REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS**

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Johnson City MSA	26,934	\$54,100	\$16,230	\$406	\$530	\$10.19	\$669	\$12.87	71	\$10.74
Kingsport-Bristol-Bristol MSA	23,702	\$54,800	\$16,440	\$411	\$514	\$9.88	\$684	\$13.15	73	\$13.80
Morristown MSA	13,498	\$50,900	\$15,270	\$382	\$511	\$9.83	\$677	\$13.02	72	\$11.91
Sevier County	11,947	\$58,500	\$17,550	\$439	\$628	\$12.08	\$736	\$14.15	78	\$9.70
Greene County	7,750	\$48,200	\$14,460	\$362	\$461	\$8.87	\$613	\$11.79	65	\$11.44
Cocke County	4,580	\$43,100	\$12,930	\$323	\$461	\$8.87	\$613	\$11.79	65	\$9.05
Johnson County	1,720	\$44,800	\$13,440	\$336	\$534	\$10.27	\$613	\$11.79	65	\$11.38

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

\*Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. \*\*Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income.



	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	24,897	16,318	66%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	37	-15,661
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	17,174	4,992	29%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	62	-16,105
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	20,352	547	3%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	96	-2,642
All Renter Households	92,693	21,989	24%			

#### Renters make up 32% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

	STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS											
	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units						
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	235,102	151,710	65%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	46	-126,745						
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	120,696	30,498	25%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	68	-115,406						
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	188,450	7,335	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	102	8,705						
All Renter Households	890,499	191,605	22%									

#### Renters make up 34% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

### **REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS**

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Knoxville HMFA	94,241	\$66,600	\$19,980	\$500	\$694	\$13.35	\$846	\$16.27	90	\$13.48
Morristown MSA	13,498	\$50,900	\$15,270	\$382	\$511	\$9.83	\$677	\$13.02	72	\$11.91
Campbell County HMFA	4,904	\$41,900	\$12,570	\$314	\$461	\$8.87	\$613	\$11.79	65	\$8.61
Claiborne County	3,722	\$47,000	\$14,100	\$353	\$489	\$9.40	\$613	\$11.79	65	\$9.71
Grainger County HMFA	1,882	\$46,500	\$13,950	\$349	\$503	\$9.67	\$613	\$11.79	65	\$10.96

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

\*Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. \*\*Or poverty guideline, if higher.

AMI = Area Median Income.



	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	22,614	15,234	67%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	39	-13,705
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	18,068	5,927	33%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	64	-14,485
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	17,777	753	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	100	-36
All Renter Households	86,829	22,161	26%			

#### Renters make up 31% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

	STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS											
	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units						
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	235,102	151,710	65%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	46	-126,745						
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	120,696	30,498	25%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	68	-115,406						
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	188,450	7,335	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	102	8,705						
All Renter Households	890,499	191,605	22%									

#### Renters make up 34% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

### **REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS**

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Knoxville HMFA	94,241	\$66,600	\$19,980	\$500	\$694	\$13.35	\$846	\$16.27	90	\$13.48
Chattanooga MSA	53,139	\$61,700	\$18,510	\$463	\$656	\$12.62	\$806	\$15.50	86	\$13.27
Cleveland MSA	15,204	\$59,100	\$17,730	\$443	\$571	\$10.98	\$760	\$14.62	81	\$12.15
Roane County HMFA	5,472	\$57,600	\$17,280	\$432	\$566	\$10.88	\$753	\$14.48	80	\$15.13
McMinn County	5,396	\$52,400	\$15,720	\$393	\$544	\$10.46	\$625	\$12.02	66	\$10.69
Campbell County HMFA	4,904	\$41,900	\$12,570	\$314	\$461	\$8.87	\$613	\$11.79	65	\$8.61
Monroe County	4,192	\$46,400	\$13,920	\$348	\$494	\$9.50	\$635	\$12.21	67	\$12.83

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

\*Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. \*\*Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income.



	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	20,170	13,790	68%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	34	-13,396
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	15,652	4,252	27%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	62	-13,700
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	19,394	810	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	98	-1,206
All Renter Households	85,694	19,071	22%			

#### Renters make up 31% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

	STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS											
	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units						
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	235,102	151,710	65%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	46	-126,745						
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	120,696	30,498	25%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	68	-115,406						
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	188,450	7,335	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	102	8,705						
All Renter Households	890,499	191,605	22%									

#### Renters make up 34% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

### **REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS**

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Nashville-DavidsonMurfreesboroFranklin HMFA	217,623	\$74,900	\$22,470	\$562	\$816	\$15.69	\$1,002	\$19.27	106	\$17.12
Chattanooga MSA	53,139	\$61,700	\$18,510	\$463	\$656	\$12.62	\$806	\$15.50	86	\$13.27
Cleveland MSA	15,204	\$59,100	\$17,730	\$443	\$571	\$10.98	\$760	\$14.62	81	\$12.15
Maury County HMFA	10,633	\$61,500	\$18,450	\$461	\$649	\$12.48	\$766	\$14.73	81	\$14.37
Bedford County	5,588	\$50,500	\$15,150	\$379	\$601	\$11.56	\$709	\$13.63	75	\$13.46
Warren County	4,797	\$49,500	\$14,850	\$371	\$466	\$8.96	\$620	\$11.92	66	\$12.45
Franklin County	4,299	\$53,900	\$16,170	\$404	\$461	\$8.87	\$613	\$11.79	65	\$11.31

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

\*Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. \*\*Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income.

# CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT HOUSING PROFILE



### **DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS**

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	32,115	22,766	71%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	32	-21,845
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	24,355	6,523	27%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	58	-23,806
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	28,337	1,370	5%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	98	-1,892
All Renter Households	128,637	31,009	24%			

#### Renters make up 44% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

	STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS											
	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units						
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	235,102	151,710	65%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	46	-126,745						
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	120,696	30,498	25%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	68	-115,406						
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	188,450	7,335	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	102	8,705						
All Renter Households	890,499	191,605	22%									

#### Renters make up 34% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

### **REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS**

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	ΑΜΙ	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Nashville-DavidsonMurfreesboroFranklin HMFA	217,623	\$74,900	\$22,470	\$562	\$816	\$15.69	\$1,002	\$19.27	106	\$17.12

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

\*Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. \*\*Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income.



	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	18,127	12,619	70%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	36	-11,690
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	14,753	3,961	27%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	63	-12,163
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	17,461	639	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	98	-1,209
All Renter Households	73,561	17,322	24%			

#### Renters make up 26% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

	STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS											
	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units						
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	235,102	151,710	65%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	46	-126,745						
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	120,696	30,498	25%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	68	-115,406						
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	188,450	7,335	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	102	8,705						
All Renter Households	890,499	191,605	22%									

#### Renters make up 34% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

# **REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS**

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Nashville-DavidsonMurfreesboroFranklin HMFA	217,623	\$74,900	\$22,470	\$562	\$816	\$15.69	\$1,002	\$19.27	106	\$17.12
Putnam County	11,507	\$49,700	\$14,910	\$373	\$539	\$10.37	\$659	\$12.67	70	\$9.56
Coffee County	6,873	\$52,500	\$15,750	\$394	\$512	\$9.85	\$681	\$13.10	72	\$15.36
Cumberland County	5,320	\$47,100	\$14,130	\$353	\$494	\$9.50	\$657	\$12.63	70	\$9.33
Macon County HMFA	2,379	\$44,900	\$13,470	\$337	\$480	\$9.23	\$617	\$11.87	65	\$11.93
DeKalb County	2,325	\$47,400	\$14,220	\$356	\$461	\$8.87	\$613	\$11.79	65	\$13.97
White County	2,163	\$45,600	\$13,680	\$342	\$476	\$9.15	\$613	\$11.79	65	\$12.15

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

\*Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. \*\*Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income.

# **CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT HOUSING PROFILE**



### **DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS**

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	15,023	10,534	70%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	33	-10,018
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	11,861	3,949	33%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	59	-10,922
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	14,944	1,027	7%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	96	-1,824
All Renter Households	73,182	15,755	22%			

#### Renters make up 27% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS											
	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units					
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	235,102	151,710	65%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	46	-126,745					
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	120,696	30,498	25%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	68	-115,406					
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	188,450	7,335	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	102	8,705					
All Renter Households	890,499	191,605	22%								

#### Renters make up 34% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

# **REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS**

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Nashville-DavidsonMurfreesboroFranklin HMFA	217,623	\$74,900	\$22,470	\$562	\$816	\$15.69	\$1,002	\$19.27	106	\$17.12
Clarksville MSA	27,459	\$55,400	\$16,620	\$416	\$660	\$12.69	\$838	\$16.12	89	\$12.47
Jackson HMFA	15,191	\$58,600	\$17,580	\$440	\$603	\$11.60	\$734	\$14.12	78	\$10.79
Maury County HMFA	10,633	\$61,500	\$18,450	\$461	\$649	\$12.48	\$766	\$14.73	81	\$14.37
Lawrence County	4,036	\$49,700	\$14,910	\$373	\$512	\$9.85	\$613	\$11.79	65	\$9.01
Giles County	3,477	\$52,400	\$15,720	\$393	\$546	\$10.50	\$627	\$12.06	67	\$10.74
Henderson County	3,053	\$50,500	\$15,150	\$379	\$506	\$9.73	\$673	\$12.94	71	\$9.83

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

\*Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. \*\*Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income.



	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	16,683	11,741	70%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	37	-10,564
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	13,128	4,427	34%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	64	-10,846
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	14,709	830	6%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	97	-1,492
All Renter Households	72,816	17,208	24%			

#### Renters make up 28% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS											
	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units					
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	235,102	151,710	65%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	46	-126,745					
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	120,696	30,498	25%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	68	-115,406					
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	188,450	7,335	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	102	8,705					
All Renter Households	890,499	191,605	22%								

#### Renters make up 34% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

### **REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS**

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Memphis HMFA	162,722	\$64,200	\$19,260	\$482	\$705	\$13.56	\$833	\$16.02	88	\$16.15
Jackson HMFA	15,191	\$58,600	\$17,580	\$440	\$603	\$11.60	\$734	\$14.12	78	\$10.79
Gibson County	5,611	\$50,500	\$15,150	\$379	\$534	\$10.27	\$613	\$11.79	65	\$12.37
Dyer County	5,483	\$58,200	\$17,460	\$437	\$485	\$9.33	\$645	\$12.40	68	\$11.47
Weakley County	4,304	\$54,100	\$16,230	\$406	\$475	\$9.13	\$613	\$11.79	65	\$9.46
Obion County	4,234	\$50,600	\$15,180	\$380	\$482	\$9.27	\$613	\$11.79	65	\$10.02
Lauderdale County	4,047	\$38,700	\$11,610	\$290	\$519	\$9.98	\$613	\$11.79	65	\$11.39

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

\*Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. \*\*Or poverty guideline, if higher. AMI = Area Median Income.

# **CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT HOUSING PROFILE**



### **DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS**

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units	
Income at or below 30% of AMI	37,933	31,524	83%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	20	-30,481	
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	25,736	10,359	40%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	51	-31,073	
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	26,819	1,754	7%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	100	445	
All Renter Households	132,038	43,875	33%				

#### Renters make up 49% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS								
	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units		
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	235,102	151,710	65%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	46	-126,745		
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	120,696	30,498	25%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	68	-115,406		
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	188,450	7,335	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	102	8,705		
All Renter Households	890,499	191,605	22%					

#### Renters make up 34% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2016 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

# **REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS**

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Memphis HMFA	162,722	\$64,200	\$19,260	\$482	\$705	\$13.56	\$833	\$16.02	88	\$16.15

Source: Out of Reach 2018. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

\*Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. \*\*Or poverty guideline, if higher.

AMI = Area Median Income.