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Recap: Successes in the 117th Congress

- Over $46 billion for ERA
- Historic moratorium on evictions during the height of the pandemic
- $5 billion for Emergency Housing Vouchers
- $5 billion to respond to the needs of people experiencing homelessness
- Over $16 billion in SLFRF for affordable housing development, operation, and preservation
- $8.1 billion increase for HUD in the FY23 budget
Outlook on the 118th Congress

Divided Congress – Republicans control House, Democrats control Senate

• (Likely) no opportunities for reconciliation
• Any bill enacted will need bipartisan support in both chambers
• New House Rules package will make funding increases difficult
Outlook on the 118th Congress

House Rules package

• Low threshold to call for votes to oust speaker
• Replaces PAYGO with CUTGO
• 3/5th vote in House needed to enact tax increases
• Cannot automatically send measure to extend debt limit when Senate adopts budget resolution
• Individual review and amendment process for every appropriations bill
Outlook on the 118th Congress

Speaker McCarthy promising to slash funding
- Cap FY24 spending at FY22 levels – cut of $130 billion to non-defense discretionary spending, including at least $8.1 billion cut to HUD
- Hold debt ceiling negotiations hostage, insist on budget cuts
We have our work is cut out for us this Congress

- Pressure Congress to work together to prevent “catastrophic outcomes”
- Unlikely to see big legislation move, BUT opportunity to “plant seeds” with members to move priorities in the future
- Fight back against harmful budget cuts, proposals to increase barriers to affordable housing resources
Take Action!
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The Benioff Homelessness and Housing Initiative (BHHI) provides accurate, timely policy-oriented research about homelessness for local, state, and national policymakers and practitioners.
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Association of Shelter-in-Place Hotels With Health Services Use Among People Experiencing Homelessness During the COVID-19 Pandemic

Mark D. Fleming, PhD, MS; Jennifer L. Evans, MS; Dave Graham-Squire, PhD; et al.


COVID-19 Resource Center
People experiencing homelessness (PEH) have disproportionately high emergency department (ED) use, and this has held true during the COVID-19 pandemic.

PEH are at increased risk of COVID-19 infection and related morbidity and mortality.
In April 2020, the City and County of San Francisco implemented an emergency, shelter-in-place (SIP) hotel program to provide non-congregate shelter to PEH who were considered vulnerable to severe COVID-19 due to age and/or comorbidities.

25 hotels, ranging from 30 to 450 beds and serving up to 2500 individuals at a time.

Private rooms, bathrooms, and three meals per day.

On-site medical clinics and referrals and transportation to off-site clinics for full-scope primary and specialty care.

CBOs offered additional services (e.g., Naloxone, needle exchange, and benefits enrollment), although availability differed by site.
Objective

• To evaluate the impact of shelter-in-place (SIP) hotel placements on health services use among a subset of people with prior high acute health service use
Data Sources

• Coordinated Care Management System (CCMS): integrated database managed by the San Francisco Department of Public Health that links information at the person-level from multiple county agencies

• CCMS includes medical, behavioral health, and social service delivery data, as well as information on social needs including homelessness and shelter use
Analysis

• Retrospective matched control design
• Included: Top 10% High Users of Multiple Systems (HUMS) and ≥ 3 ED visits in 9 months
• N= 686 (343 SIP intervention; 343 control)
• Examined service use 90 days before and 90 days after SIP placements occurring between April 2020 and April 2021
Consort diagram
Results

The 343 SIP guests who met criteria for our study comprised 13.6% of all (n=2524) guests who stayed in SIP for at least 90-days

52.2 years mean age

70.7% male

41.3% Black

49.1% homeless for over 10 years.
### Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Service</th>
<th>SIP Intervention</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>IRR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Group by pre-post p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>Post</td>
<td>Pre</td>
<td>Post</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED Visits</td>
<td>M (95% CI)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.66, 0.99)</td>
<td>1.33 (1.39, 1.58)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.80, 1.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient days</td>
<td>4.00 (2.44, 5.56)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.40, 1.23)</td>
<td>2.27 (1.27, 3.27)</td>
<td>1.85 (1.06, 2.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient stays</td>
<td>0.41 (0.30, 0.51)</td>
<td>0.14 (0.09, 0.19)</td>
<td>0.27 (0.19, 0.34)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.15, 0.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric emergency visits</td>
<td>0.03 (0.01, 0.05)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.00, 0.01)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.01, 0.04)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.00, 0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient psychiatric stays</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00, 0.01)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00, 0.00)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00, 0.01)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00, 0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient medical visits</td>
<td>0.45 (0.31, 0.59)</td>
<td>0.33 (0.22, 0.45)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.17, 0.34)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.15, 0.32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient mental health visits</td>
<td>2.32 (1.24, 3.40)</td>
<td>2.88 (1.47, 4.29)</td>
<td>2.81 (1.52, 4.11)</td>
<td>3.05 (1.56, 4.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methadone and/or buprenorphine treatment visits</td>
<td>6.64 (1.93, 11.36)</td>
<td>8.96 (2.42, 15.51)</td>
<td>6.94 (2.02, 11.87)</td>
<td>7.89 (2.12, 13.67)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Service</th>
<th>SIP Intervention</th>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th></th>
<th>IRR (95% CI)</th>
<th>Group by pre-post p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pre (M)</td>
<td>Post (M)</td>
<td>Pre (M)</td>
<td>Post (M)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED Visits</td>
<td>1.84 (1.52, 2.17)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.66, 0.99)</td>
<td>1.33 (1.39, 1.58)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.80, 1.20)</td>
<td>0.60 (0.47, 0.75)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient days</td>
<td>4.00 (2.44, 5.56)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.40, 1.23)</td>
<td>2.27 (1.27, 3.27)</td>
<td>1.85 (1.06, 2.65)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.12, 0.54)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient stays</td>
<td>0.41 (0.30, 0.51)</td>
<td>0.14 (0.09, 0.19)</td>
<td>0.27 (0.19, 0.34)</td>
<td>0.22 (0.15, 0.29)</td>
<td>0.41 (0.27, 0.63)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric emergency visits</td>
<td>0.03 (0.01, 0.05)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.00, 0.01)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.01, 0.04)</td>
<td>0.02 (0.00, 0.03)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.11, 0.51)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inpatient psychiatric stays</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00, 0.01)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00, 0.00)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00, 0.01)</td>
<td>0.00 (0.00, 0.00)</td>
<td>1.18 (0.26, 5.46)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient medical visits</td>
<td>0.45 (0.31, 0.59)</td>
<td>0.33 (0.22, 0.45)</td>
<td>0.25 (0.17, 0.34)</td>
<td>0.24 (0.15, 0.32)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.56, 1.15)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient mental health visits</td>
<td>2.32 (1.24, 3.40)</td>
<td>2.88 (1.47, 4.29)</td>
<td>2.81 (1.52, 4.11)</td>
<td>3.05 (1.56, 4.54)</td>
<td>1.15 (0.46, 2.85)</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methadone and/or buprenorphine treatment visits</td>
<td>6.64 (1.93, 11.36)</td>
<td>8.96 (2.42, 15.51)</td>
<td>6.94 (2.02, 11.87)</td>
<td>7.89 (2.12, 13.67)</td>
<td>1.19 (0.30, 4.76)</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusion

• Using existing hotels as non-congregate shelter with embedded health services may be an effective strategy to mitigate COVID-19 risks as well as to reduce acute care use of PEH with a history of high health services use.
# Current state of SIP in San Francisco

## SIP Hotel Guest Exits

### Guests Eligible for SIP Housing Process

**Current Exits**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exit Type</th>
<th># Exits</th>
<th>% of All Exits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Housing</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Housing</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent Housing: Flexible Hous...</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid Rehousing</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reunited with Friends or Family</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Served through Problem Solving</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1600</strong></td>
<td><strong>62%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other Exits (Summary)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exit Type</th>
<th># Exits</th>
<th>% of All Exits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Shelter</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Institutions</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>512</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>961</strong></td>
<td><strong>38%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Exits by Category

- **Housing**: 62%
- **Temporary Shelter**: 15%
- **Other Institutions**: 2%
- **Other**: 20%

## SIP Hotel Guest Exits (Detail)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exit Type</th>
<th># Exits</th>
<th>% of All Exits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temporary Shelter</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelter (including Navigation Centers)</td>
<td>264</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitional Housing</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Temporary Living Situation</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Institutions</strong></td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster care home or foster care group home</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term care facility or nursing home</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychiatric hospital or other psychiatric facility</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential project or halfway house with no homeless criteria</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substance abuse treatment facility or detox center</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>961</strong></td>
<td><strong>38%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Exits per Month**

- **Jan 2021**: 88
- **Jul 2021**: 64
- **Jan 2022**: 168
- **Jul 2022**: 219
Current state of SIP in San Francisco

Demographics of Exited Guests
Guests Eligible for SIP Housing Process

Percent by Race and Exit Type
- White: 41%
- Black, African American, or African: 43%
- Asian or Asian American: 4%
- American Indian, Alaska Native, or Indigenous: 5%
- Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander: 2%
- Multi-Racial: 6%

Percent by Ethnicity and Exit Type
- Hispanic / Latina/o/x: 19%
- Non-Hispanic / Non-Latina/o/x: 82%

Percent by Sexual Orientation and Exit Type
- Straight / Heterosexual: 88%
- Gay / Lesbian / Same-Gender Loving: 7%
- Bisexual: 6%
- Questioning / Unsure: 0%
- Other / Not Listed: 1%

Percent by Gender Identity and Exit Type
- Male: 68%
- Female: 30%
- Transgender: 1%
- No Single Gender: 0%
- Questioning: 0%

Count of Guest Exits: 2561

Data as of: 1/13/2023

Email: dhsh@sfgov.org | Follow: @SF_HSH | Learn: hsh.sfgov.org | Like: @SanFranciscoHSH

Gender identity is “Data Not Collected” or “Doesn’t Know / Refused” for 9% of guests.
Sexual orientation is “Data Not Collected” or “Refused” for 6% of guests.
Race is “Data Not Collected” or “Doesn’t Know / Refused” for 5% of guests.
Thanks!

- UCSF BHBI
- UCSF DPH

- Questions? maria.raven@ucsf.edu
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LEFT: Lieutenant Gov Peggy Flanagan, Gov Tim Walz, Housing Cmte Chairman, Mike Howard, Executive Director Anne Mavity, Policy Director Libby Murphy
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Tenant Talk Live 2023 Forecast

Sidney Betancourt, Housing Advocacy Organizer
National Low Income Housing Coalition
January 17, 2023
Tenant Talk Live (TTL)

First Monday of every month at 6pm ET (5pm CT, 4pm MT, 3pm PT)

Tenant Talk Live webinars provide opportunities for residents to connect with NLIHC and one another, to share best practices, and to learn how to be more involved in influencing federal housing policies and to lead in their communities.

Register here: https://nlihc.org/tenant-talk-live-webinar

Initially created as a forum to learn from resident leaders about how the coronavirus pandemic is affecting their lives and how they are responding and has since expanded.
2022 Tenant Talk Live Webinars

- Digital Advocacy
- Policy Feedback
- ERA: Prospects, Challenges, and Opportunities
- Coalition Building
- Organizing for HCV holders
- Public Housing advocacy

- Intersection of affordable housing and the living wage
- Voter mobilization
- Community Land Trusts
- LIHTC tenants’ rights
- Tenant Bill of Rights
- Fireside chats
- Listening sessions
2022 Tenant Talk Live Featured Panelists

- National Housing Law Project
- Regional Housing Legal Services
- Grounded Solutions
- Douglas CLT
- Tenants and Neighbors
- Resident Action Project
- Human Rights Watch
- Poverty and Race Research Action Council
- Washington Low Income Housing Alliance
- Just Cities
- Civil Survival
- Minnesota Restoration for All, Inc.
- Housing Action Illinois
- Non-Profit Housing Association of Northern California
- DC Fiscal Policy Institute

Our Tactics & Tools

- Leverage our existing building organizing with tenant associations and leaders to build capacity
- Target districts where we have a strong base
- Utilize VAN, Spoke, ThruTalk to canvass and phone bank
- Partner with local non-profit organizations to co-facilitate trainings
• International models of housing justice
• Tenants’ rights
• Tenants’ unions
• Re-entry housing
• Educating property managers and landlords about tenants’ rights
• Senior housing
• Section 202
• Court watching
• Uniform Landlord/Tenant Act (ULTA)
• Co-ops/communes
• More listening sessions and fireside chats!
Tenant Talk is semi-annual newsletter created to engage residents in housing advocacy. Each edition highlights innovative approaches and recent victories in communities throughout the United States alongside discussion of the key issues playing out in Congress.
NLIHC’s Tenant Leader Cohort is a group of tenant advocates and community leaders with lived experience of housing insecurity who work towards housing justice and racial equity in their neighborhoods and greater communities.
Successes with Tenant Leader Cohort

Tenant Leader Retreat, October 2022

White House Meeting on Tenant Protections and Housing Affordability, November 2022
Sid Betancourt
sbetancourt@nlihc.org
202-662-1530, x200
NLIHC’s HoUSed Campaign (nlihc.org/housed): Campaign Updates