

DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	20,309	15,415	76%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	37	-12,734
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	15,197	5,715	38%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	64	-12,687
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	16,060	1,296	8%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	107	3,725
All Renter Households	81,502	22,588	28%			

Renters make up 31% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	183,528	118,628	65%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	62	-69,411
Income between 31%** and 50% of AMI	91,016	17,146	19%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	84	-42,967
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	117,446	4,778	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	111	43,216
All Renter Households	588,071	141,138	24%			

Renters make up 32% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2017 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Mobile MSA	51,743	\$60,600	\$18,180	\$455	\$681	\$13.10	\$848	\$16.31	90	\$13.04
Daphne-Fairhope-Foley MSA	20,663	\$80,500	\$24,150	\$604	\$773	\$14.87	\$888	\$17.08	94	\$11.56
Escambia County	3,736	\$44,700	\$13,410	\$335	\$549	\$10.56	\$628	\$12.08	67	\$11.26
Clarke County	3,266	\$53,400	\$16,020	\$401	\$538	\$10.35	\$628	\$12.08	67	\$11.08
Monroe County	3,201	\$45,000	\$13,500	\$338	\$549	\$10.56	\$628	\$12.08	67	\$8.94
Washington County	1,055	\$47,100	\$14,130	\$353	\$504	\$9.69	\$633	\$12.17	67	\$22.37

Source: Out of Reach 2019. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

*Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher.

AMI = Area Median Income.

Last updated in July 2019. Please Contact NLIHC research staff at (202) 662-1530 to request additional information.

DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	22,658	16,050	71%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	35	-14,748
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	15,941	4,786	30%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	63	-14,105
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	16,524	579	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	101	462
All Renter Households	87,941	21,584	25%			

Renters make up 34% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	183,528	118,628	65%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	62	-69,411
Income between 31%** and 50% of AMI	91,016	17,146	19%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	84	-42,967
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	117,446	4,778	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	111	43,216
All Renter Households	588,071	141,138	24%			

Renters make up 32% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2017 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Montgomery MSA	51,353	\$65,900	\$19,770	\$494	\$696	\$13.38	\$825	\$15.87	88	\$12.87
Dothan HMFA	16,810	\$57,500	\$17,250	\$431	\$519	\$9.98	\$682	\$13.12	72	\$12.57
Dale County	7,588	\$58,500	\$17,550	\$439	\$501	\$9.63	\$629	\$12.10	67	\$16.11
Coffee County	6,798	\$65,900	\$19,770	\$494	\$558	\$10.73	\$680	\$13.08	72	\$9.97
Pike County	5,007	\$50,800	\$15,240	\$381	\$540	\$10.38	\$628	\$12.08	67	\$9.72
Covington County	3,809	\$52,900	\$15,870	\$397	\$488	\$9.38	\$628	\$12.08	67	\$11.50
Barbour County	3,446	\$45,200	\$13,560	\$339	\$503	\$9.67	\$666	\$12.81	71	\$9.90

Source: Out of Reach 2019. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

*Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher.

AMI = Area Median Income.

Last updated in July 2019. Please Contact NLIHC research staff at (202) 662-1530 to request additional information.

DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	23,659	16,887	71%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	33	-15,931
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	15,303	4,496	29%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	62	-14,696
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	16,801	944	6%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	96	-2,333
All Renter Households	84,601	22,453	27%			

Renters make up 31% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	183,528	118,628	65%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	62	-69,411
Income between 31%** and 50% of AMI	91,016	17,146	19%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	84	-42,967
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	117,446	4,778	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	111	43,216
All Renter Households	588,071	141,138	24%			

Renters make up 32% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2017 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Birmingham-Hoover HMFA	125,388	\$74,400	\$22,320	\$558	\$763	\$14.67	\$873	\$16.79	93	\$15.85
Montgomery MSA	51,353	\$65,900	\$19,770	\$494	\$696	\$13.38	\$825	\$15.87	88	\$12.87
Auburn-Opelika MSA	24,069	\$71,100	\$21,330	\$533	\$627	\$12.06	\$814	\$15.65	86	\$9.56
Anniston-Oxford-Jacksonville MSA	13,804	\$56,200	\$16,860	\$422	\$513	\$9.87	\$676	\$13.00	72	\$10.35
Columbus MSA	9,322	\$59,600	\$17,880	\$447	\$714	\$13.73	\$862	\$16.58	91	\$13.68
Talladega County	8,792	\$51,200	\$15,360	\$384	\$508	\$9.77	\$672	\$12.92	71	\$13.67
Tallapoosa County	4,606	\$53,700	\$16,110	\$403	\$539	\$10.37	\$628	\$12.08	67	\$8.41

Source: Out of Reach 2019. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

*Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher.

AMI = Area Median Income.

Last updated in July 2019. Please Contact NLIHC research staff at (202) 662-1530 to request additional information.

DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	15,481	9,672	62%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	49	-7,928
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	14,917	3,139	21%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	73	-8,116
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	15,337	517	3%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	101	275
All Renter Households	69,386	13,494	19%			

Renters make up 27% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	183,528	118,628	65%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	62	-69,411
Income between 31%** and 50% of AMI	91,016	17,146	19%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	84	-42,967
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	117,446	4,778	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	111	43,216
All Renter Households	588,071	141,138	24%			

Renters make up 32% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2017 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Birmingham-Hoover HMFA	125,388	\$74,400	\$22,320	\$558	\$763	\$14.67	\$873	\$16.79	93	\$15.85
Tuscaloosa HMFA	28,010	\$66,900	\$20,070	\$502	\$705	\$13.56	\$847	\$16.29	90	\$11.57
Florence-Muscle Shoals MSA	18,178	\$66,200	\$19,860	\$497	\$534	\$10.27	\$675	\$12.98	72	\$10.30
Decatur MSA	15,659	\$62,800	\$18,840	\$471	\$528	\$10.15	\$681	\$13.10	72	\$12.16
Gadsden MSA	10,965	\$57,100	\$17,130	\$428	\$500	\$9.62	\$662	\$12.73	70	\$9.89
Marshall County	10,151	\$54,700	\$16,410	\$410	\$486	\$9.35	\$643	\$12.37	68	\$9.79
Cullman County	7,826	\$53,100	\$15,930	\$398	\$535	\$10.29	\$662	\$12.73	70	\$10.93

Source: Out of Reach 2019. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

*Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher.

AMI = Area Median Income.

Last updated in July 2019. Please Contact NLIHC research staff at (202) 662-1530 to request additional information.

DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	23,875	15,762	66%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	41	-14,137
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	15,761	2,453	16%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	85	-6,010
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	17,903	396	2%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	104	2,583
All Renter Households	82,848	18,810	23%			

Renters make up 30% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	183,528	118,628	65%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	62	-69,411
Income between 31%** and 50% of AMI	91,016	17,146	19%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	84	-42,967
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	117,446	4,778	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	111	43,216
All Renter Households	588,071	141,138	24%			

Renters make up 32% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2017 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Huntsville MSA	53,629	\$84,900	\$25,470	\$637	\$685	\$13.17	\$809	\$15.56	86	\$13.59
Florence-Muscle Shoals MSA	18,178	\$66,200	\$19,860	\$497	\$534	\$10.27	\$675	\$12.98	72	\$10.30
Decatur MSA	15,659	\$62,800	\$18,840	\$471	\$528	\$10.15	\$681	\$13.10	72	\$12.16
Jackson County	5,376	\$50,100	\$15,030	\$376	\$576	\$11.08	\$681	\$13.10	72	\$9.65

Source: Out of Reach 2019. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

*Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher.

AMI = Area Median Income.

Last updated in July 2019. Please Contact NLIHC research staff at (202) 662-1530 to request additional information.

DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	12,362	8,662	70%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	36	-7,951
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	10,386	3,865	37%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	58	-9,536
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	14,469	846	6%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	97	-1,277
All Renter Households	65,655	13,597	21%			

Renters make up 25% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	183,528	118,628	65%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	62	-69,411
Income between 31%** and 50% of AMI	91,016	17,146	19%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	84	-42,967
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	117,446	4,778	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	111	43,216
All Renter Households	588,071	141,138	24%			

Renters make up 32% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2017 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Birmingham-Hoover HMFA	125,388	\$74,400	\$22,320	\$558	\$763	\$14.67	\$873	\$16.79	93	\$15.85
Chilton County HMFA	4,143	\$55,300	\$16,590	\$415	\$549	\$10.56	\$628	\$12.08	67	\$11.44
Coosa County	827	\$45,900	\$13,770	\$344	\$511	\$9.83	\$676	\$13.00	72	\$14.32

Source: Out of Reach 2019. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

*Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher.

AMI = Area Median Income.

Last updated in July 2019. Please Contact NLIHC research staff at (202) 662-1530 to request additional information.

DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30% of AMI	40,974	29,446	72%	Income at or below 30% of AMI	35	-26,475
Income between 31% and 50% of AMI	20,910	5,593	27%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	67	-20,255
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	19,298	685	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	99	-519
All Renter Households	107,272	35,814	33%			

Renters make up 42% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

	Total Renter Households	Severely Burdened Households*	% with Severe Burden		Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100	Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units
Income at or below 30%** of AMI	183,528	118,628	65%	Income at or below 30%** of AMI	62	-69,411
Income between 31%** and 50% of AMI	91,016	17,146	19%	Income at or below 50% of AMI	84	-42,967
Income between 51% and 80% of AMI	117,446	4,778	4%	Income at or below 80% of AMI	111	43,216
All Renter Households	588,071	141,138	24%			

Renters make up 32% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2017 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts	Total Renter Households	AMI	30% of AMI	Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI	One Bdrm Fair Market Rent	One Bdrm Housing Wage	Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent	Two Bdrm Housing Wage	Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm	Avg Renter Wage
Birmingham-Hoover HMFA	125,388	\$74,400	\$22,320	\$558	\$763	\$14.67	\$873	\$16.79	93	\$15.85
Montgomery MSA	51,353	\$65,900	\$19,770	\$494	\$696	\$13.38	\$825	\$15.87	88	\$12.87
Tuscaloosa HMFA	28,010	\$66,900	\$20,070	\$502	\$705	\$13.56	\$847	\$16.29	90	\$11.57
Dallas County	6,732	\$38,900	\$11,670	\$292	\$524	\$10.08	\$628	\$12.08	67	\$10.64
Clarke County	3,266	\$53,400	\$16,020	\$401	\$538	\$10.35	\$628	\$12.08	67	\$11.08
Marengo County	2,286	\$47,700	\$14,310	\$358	\$539	\$10.37	\$628	\$12.08	67	\$11.39
Pickens County HMFA	2,005	\$49,100	\$14,730	\$368	\$481	\$9.25	\$628	\$12.08	67	\$8.24

Source: Out of Reach 2019. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

*Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher.

AMI = Area Median Income.

Last updated in July 2019. Please Contact NLIHC research staff at (202) 662-1530 to request additional information.