

DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

| | Total Renter Households | Severely Burdened Households* | % with Severe Burden | | Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100 | Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Income at or below 30% of AMI | 23,949 | 18,841 | 79% | Income at or below 30% of AMI | 19 | -19,331 |
| Income between 31% and 50% of AMI | 20,965 | 7,349 | 35% | Income at or below 50% of AMI | 37 | -28,145 |
| Income between 51% and 80% of AMI | 24,587 | 1,691 | 7% | Income at or below 80% of AMI | 88 | -8,006 |
| All Renter Households | 117,352 | 28,298 | 24% | | | |

Renters make up 39% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

| | Total Renter Households | Severely Burdened Households* | % with Severe Burden | | Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100 | Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|
| Income at or below 30%** of AMI | 135,746 | 101,340 | 75% | Income at or below 30%** of AMI | 28 | -98,406 |
| Income between 31%** and 50% of AMI | 90,226 | 37,808 | 42% | Income at or below 50% of AMI | 42 | -130,289 |
| Income between 51% and 80% of AMI | 119,557 | 11,576 | 10% | Income at or below 80% of AMI | 88 | -40,919 |
| All Renter Households | 595,921 | 154,202 | 26% | | | |

Renters make up 37% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2017 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

| Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts | Total Renter Households | AMI | 30% of AMI | Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI | One Bdrm Fair Market Rent | One Bdrm Housing Wage | Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent | Two Bdrm Housing Wage | Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm | Avg Renter Wage |
|---|-------------------------|----------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Portland-Vancouver-Hillsboro MSA | 292,183 | \$87,900 | \$26,370 | \$659 | \$1,234 | \$23.73 | \$1,441 | \$27.71 | 99 | \$18.74 |
| Salem MSA | 57,037 | \$69,400 | \$20,820 | \$521 | \$736 | \$14.15 | \$972 | \$18.69 | 66 | \$12.93 |
| Clatsop County | 6,220 | \$64,700 | \$19,410 | \$485 | \$700 | \$13.46 | \$866 | \$16.65 | 59 | \$12.39 |

Source: Out of Reach 2019. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

*Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher.

AMI = Area Median Income.

Last updated in July 2019. Please Contact NLIHC research staff at (202) 662-1530 to request additional information.

DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

| | Total Renter Households | Severely Burdened Households* | % with Severe Burden | | Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100 | Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Income at or below 30% of AMI | 22,459 | 17,733 | 79% | Income at or below 30% of AMI | 23 | -17,245 |
| Income between 31% and 50% of AMI | 21,388 | 9,879 | 46% | Income at or below 50% of AMI | 40 | -26,470 |
| Income between 51% and 80% of AMI | 24,713 | 3,148 | 13% | Income at or below 80% of AMI | 85 | -10,172 |
| All Renter Households | 111,628 | 31,434 | 28% | | | |

Renters make up 36% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

| | Total Renter Households | Severely Burdened Households* | % with Severe Burden | | Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100 | Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|
| Income at or below 30%** of AMI | 135,746 | 101,340 | 75% | Income at or below 30%** of AMI | 28 | -98,406 |
| Income between 31%** and 50% of AMI | 90,226 | 37,808 | 42% | Income at or below 50% of AMI | 42 | -130,289 |
| Income between 51% and 80% of AMI | 119,557 | 11,576 | 10% | Income at or below 80% of AMI | 88 | -40,919 |
| All Renter Households | 595,921 | 154,202 | 26% | | | |

Renters make up 37% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2017 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

| Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts | Total Renter Households | AMI | 30% of AMI | Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI | One Bdrm Fair Market Rent | One Bdrm Housing Wage | Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent | Two Bdrm Housing Wage | Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm | Avg Renter Wage |
|---|-------------------------|----------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Medford MSA | 31,963 | \$66,300 | \$19,890 | \$497 | \$747 | \$14.37 | \$988 | \$19.00 | 68 | \$13.11 |
| Bend-Redmond MSA | 24,190 | \$78,600 | \$23,580 | \$590 | \$884 | \$17.00 | \$1,071 | \$20.60 | 73 | \$15.04 |
| Grants Pass MSA | 11,983 | \$48,800 | \$14,640 | \$366 | \$722 | \$13.88 | \$955 | \$18.37 | 65 | \$11.71 |
| Umatilla County | 10,009 | \$65,600 | \$19,680 | \$492 | \$577 | \$11.10 | \$735 | \$14.13 | 50 | \$11.37 |
| Klamath County | 9,512 | \$51,400 | \$15,420 | \$386 | \$567 | \$10.90 | \$748 | \$14.38 | 51 | \$11.66 |
| Malheur County | 4,309 | \$51,400 | \$15,420 | \$386 | \$544 | \$10.46 | \$709 | \$13.63 | 48 | \$10.53 |
| Union County | 3,779 | \$60,800 | \$18,240 | \$456 | \$553 | \$10.63 | \$732 | \$14.08 | 50 | \$11.68 |

Source: Out of Reach 2019. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

*Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher.

AMI = Area Median Income.

Last updated in July 2019. Please Contact NLIHC research staff at (202) 662-1530 to request additional information.

DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

| | Total Renter Households | Severely Burdened Households* | % with Severe Burden | | Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100 | Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Income at or below 30% of AMI | 36,614 | 28,290 | 77% | Income at or below 30% of AMI | 23 | -28,313 |
| Income between 31% and 50% of AMI | 24,186 | 8,742 | 36% | Income at or below 50% of AMI | 39 | -36,844 |
| Income between 51% and 80% of AMI | 28,269 | 2,435 | 9% | Income at or below 80% of AMI | 86 | -12,204 |
| All Renter Households | 135,521 | 39,934 | 29% | | | |

Renters make up 43% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

| | Total Renter Households | Severely Burdened Households* | % with Severe Burden | | Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100 | Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|
| Income at or below 30%** of AMI | 135,746 | 101,340 | 75% | Income at or below 30%** of AMI | 28 | -98,406 |
| Income between 31%** and 50% of AMI | 90,226 | 37,808 | 42% | Income at or below 50% of AMI | 42 | -130,289 |
| Income between 51% and 80% of AMI | 119,557 | 11,576 | 10% | Income at or below 80% of AMI | 88 | -40,919 |
| All Renter Households | 595,921 | 154,202 | 26% | | | |

Renters make up 37% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2017 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

| Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts | Total Renter Households | AMI | 30% of AMI | Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI | One Bdrm Fair Market Rent | One Bdrm Housing Wage | Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent | Two Bdrm Housing Wage | Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm | Avg Renter Wage |
|---|-------------------------|----------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Portland-Vancouver-Hillsboro MSA | 292,183 | \$87,900 | \$26,370 | \$659 | \$1,234 | \$23.73 | \$1,441 | \$27.71 | 99 | \$18.74 |

Source: Out of Reach 2019. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

*Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher.

AMI = Area Median Income.

Last updated in July 2019. Please Contact NLIHC research staff at (202) 662-1530 to request additional information.

DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

| | Total Renter Households | Severely Burdened Households* | % with Severe Burden | | Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100 | Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Income at or below 30% of AMI | 29,834 | 24,638 | 83% | Income at or below 30% of AMI | 17 | -24,653 |
| Income between 31% and 50% of AMI | 22,684 | 10,406 | 46% | Income at or below 50% of AMI | 37 | -32,867 |
| Income between 51% and 80% of AMI | 24,661 | 2,651 | 11% | Income at or below 80% of AMI | 83 | -12,979 |
| All Renter Households | 120,450 | 38,374 | 32% | | | |

Renters make up 38% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

| | Total Renter Households | Severely Burdened Households* | % with Severe Burden | | Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100 | Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|
| Income at or below 30%** of AMI | 135,746 | 101,340 | 75% | Income at or below 30%** of AMI | 28 | -98,406 |
| Income between 31%** and 50% of AMI | 90,226 | 37,808 | 42% | Income at or below 50% of AMI | 42 | -130,289 |
| Income between 51% and 80% of AMI | 119,557 | 11,576 | 10% | Income at or below 80% of AMI | 88 | -40,919 |
| All Renter Households | 595,921 | 154,202 | 26% | | | |

Renters make up 37% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2017 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

| Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts | Total Renter Households | AMI | 30% of AMI | Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI | One Bdrm Fair Market Rent | One Bdrm Housing Wage | Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent | Two Bdrm Housing Wage | Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm | Avg Renter Wage |
|---|-------------------------|----------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Eugene-Springfield MSA | 61,213 | \$64,900 | \$19,470 | \$487 | \$780 | \$15.00 | \$1,032 | \$19.85 | 71 | \$12.97 |
| Albany MSA | 16,616 | \$68,600 | \$20,580 | \$515 | \$761 | \$14.63 | \$1,006 | \$19.35 | 69 | \$13.40 |
| Corvallis MSA | 14,988 | \$83,700 | \$25,110 | \$628 | \$886 | \$17.04 | \$1,066 | \$20.50 | 73 | \$12.39 |
| Douglas County | 14,363 | \$56,200 | \$16,860 | \$422 | \$773 | \$14.87 | \$1,023 | \$19.67 | 70 | \$13.35 |
| Grants Pass MSA | 11,983 | \$48,800 | \$14,640 | \$366 | \$722 | \$13.88 | \$955 | \$18.37 | 65 | \$11.71 |
| Coos County | 9,212 | \$51,400 | \$15,420 | \$386 | \$684 | \$13.15 | \$837 | \$16.10 | 57 | \$11.16 |
| Curry County | 3,373 | \$53,400 | \$16,020 | \$401 | \$777 | \$14.94 | \$979 | \$18.83 | 67 | \$12.01 |

Source: Out of Reach 2019. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

*Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher.

AMI = Area Median Income.

Last updated in July 2019. Please Contact NLIHC research staff at (202) 662-1530 to request additional information.

DISTRICT-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

| | Total Renter Households | Severely Burdened Households* | % with Severe Burden | | Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100 | Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|
| Income at or below 30% of AMI | 20,877 | 16,329 | 78% | Income at or below 30% of AMI | 23 | -16,129 |
| Income between 31% and 50% of AMI | 19,321 | 8,080 | 42% | Income at or below 50% of AMI | 36 | -25,764 |
| Income between 51% and 80% of AMI | 23,889 | 2,340 | 10% | Income at or below 80% of AMI | 86 | -9,012 |
| All Renter Households | 108,844 | 27,509 | 25% | | | |

Renters make up 37% of all households in the District

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2011-2015 Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy (CHAS) data

STATE-LEVEL RENTER STATISTICS

| | Total Renter Households | Severely Burdened Households* | % with Severe Burden | | Affordable and Available Rental Units Per 100 | Surplus/ (Deficit) of Affordable and Available Rental Units |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|
| Income at or below 30%** of AMI | 135,746 | 101,340 | 75% | Income at or below 30%** of AMI | 28 | -98,406 |
| Income between 31%** and 50% of AMI | 90,226 | 37,808 | 42% | Income at or below 50% of AMI | 42 | -130,289 |
| Income between 51% and 80% of AMI | 119,557 | 11,576 | 10% | Income at or below 80% of AMI | 88 | -40,919 |
| All Renter Households | 595,921 | 154,202 | 26% | | | |

Renters make up 37% of all households in the state

Source: NLIHC tabulations of 2017 American Community Survey (ACS) Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)

REGIONAL RENTAL AFFORDABILITY STATISTICS

| Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs) and Counties in Districts | Total Renter Households | AMI | 30% of AMI | Rent Affordable at 30% of AMI | One Bdrm Fair Market Rent | One Bdrm Housing Wage | Two Bdrm Fair Market Rent | Two Bdrm Housing Wage | Hours at Minimum Wage for Two Bdrm | Avg Renter Wage |
|---|-------------------------|----------|------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| Portland-Vancouver-Hillsboro MSA | 292,183 | \$87,900 | \$26,370 | \$659 | \$1,234 | \$23.73 | \$1,441 | \$27.71 | 99 | \$18.74 |
| Salem MSA | 57,037 | \$69,400 | \$20,820 | \$521 | \$736 | \$14.15 | \$972 | \$18.69 | 66 | \$12.93 |
| Corvallis MSA | 14,988 | \$83,700 | \$25,110 | \$628 | \$886 | \$17.04 | \$1,066 | \$20.50 | 73 | \$12.39 |
| Lincoln County | 7,529 | \$54,500 | \$16,350 | \$409 | \$697 | \$13.40 | \$884 | \$17.00 | 60 | \$11.99 |
| Tillamook County | 3,219 | \$55,800 | \$16,740 | \$419 | \$610 | \$11.73 | \$806 | \$15.50 | 55 | \$12.31 |

Source: Out of Reach 2019. This congressional district includes at least a portion of the Fair Market Rent (FMR) areas listed above. For FMR areas that span more than one state, the data reflect this state's geography. For districts covering more than seven FMR areas, only the seven largest are shown.

*Severely Burdened: Households spending more than 50% of income on housing costs, including utilities. **Or poverty guideline, if higher.

AMI = Area Median Income.

Last updated in July 2019. Please Contact NLIHC research staff at (202) 662-1530 to request additional information.