



UNITED FOR HOMES

CAMPAIGN FOR THE NATIONAL HOUSING TRUST FUND

Campaign Steering Committee

The Honorable Edward W. Brooke, III
Honorary Chair

Marian Wright Edelman
Children's Defense Fund
Honorary Co-Chair

Peter Edelman
Georgetown University Law Center
Honorary Co-Chair

Mark Allison
Center for Social Innovation

Dara Baldwin
National Council on Independent
Living

Mary Brooks
Center for Community Change

Donald Chamberlain
Sound Thinking

Sheila Crowley
National Low Income Housing
Coalition

Betsy Crum
Connecticut Housing Coalition

Bill Faith
Coalition on Homelessness &
Housing in Ohio

Chip Halbach
Minnesota Housing Partnership

Ted Houghton
Supportive Housing Network of
New York

Rachel LaForest
Right to the City National Alliance

Don Mathis
Community Action Partnership

Sister Lillian Murphy
Mercy Housing

Bob Palmer
Housing Action Illinois

John Parvensky
Colorado Coalition for the Homeless

Steve Protulis
Elderly Housing Development &
Operations Corporation

Nan Roman
National Alliance to End
Homelessness

Kalima Rose
PolicyLink

Jim Ryczek
Rhode Island Coalition for the
Homeless

Andrew Sperling
National Alliance on Mental Illness

Frank Woodruff
National Alliance of Community
Economic Development Associations

April 24, 2013

The Honorable Dave Camp
Chairman
Committee on Ways and Means
1102 Longworth House Office Building
U.S House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

The Honorable Sander Levin
Ranking Member
Committee on Ways and Means
1106 Longworth House Office Building
U.S House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515

Dear Chairman Camp and Ranking Member Levin:

I respectfully submit comments on behalf of the National Low Income Housing Coalition (NLIHC) and the more than 1,000 organizations that comprise the United for Homes campaign on proposed changes to the tax code that are relevant to the Committee's hearing on tax reform and residential real estate. Our comments are focused on reforms to the mortgage interest deduction that will raise revenue to be applied to the National Housing Trust Fund (NHTF). The changes will make the tax code fairer and flatter, and distribute current federal housing supports in a way that is targeted to actual housing need.

NLIHC is a non-profit policy advocacy and research organization dedicated solely to achieving socially just public policy that assures people with the lowest incomes in the United States have affordable and decent homes. Our members include nonprofit housing providers, homeless service providers, fair housing organizations, state and local housing coalitions, public housing agencies, private developers and property owners, housing researchers, local and state government agencies, faith-based organizations, residents of public and assisted housing and their organizations, and concerned citizens. NLIHC does not represent any segment of the housing sector, but rather works only on behalf of and with low income people who need safe, decent, and affordable housing, especially those with the most serious housing problems, including people who are homeless.

The United for Homes campaign is a coalition of national, state, and local organizations from every state that have endorsed NLIHC's proposal to fund the NHTF through a modernization of the mortgage interest deduction and are working to educate the public about our proposal.¹

Affordable Rental Need

The goal of the United for Homes campaign is to address the longstanding and under recognized shortage of rental homes that people in the low wage work force and seniors and people with disabilities on fixed incomes can afford. The number of extremely low income² (ELI) renter households in the United States far exceeds the number of housing units renting at prices they can afford.³ In 2011, there were 10.1 million ELI households and only 5.5 million rental housing units they could afford. Moreover, many of the units they could afford were occupied by higher income households. Thus the true shortage of units for this income group was 7.1 million.⁴ There are three key things to keep in mind about the rental housing shortage for ELI households.

1. The shortage grows every year.
2. It is the primary contributing factor to homelessness in America.
3. There is a shortage in every state. The shortage is 234,068 units in Michigan.⁵

The consequences of failing to address this housing shortage have serious implications for numerous other spheres of family and community life. The shortage leads to housing instability, with poor families forced to make frequent moves, disrupting children's educational achievement and parents' ability to be good employees. Poor people faced with excessively high housing costs must skimp on other necessities and are one car repair or illness without sick leave away from eviction. They often live 'doubled-up' in overcrowded and substandard conditions. An investment in affordable housing for the extremely low income population is an investment that will lead to increased educational outcomes, better health outcomes, and greatly improved family and community well-being.

The National Housing Trust Fund (NHTF) is an authorized program that, once funded, will expand the supply of housing affordable and available to ELI households, and if funded to scale will close the gap between supply and need for housing and end homelessness in the United States.

Details about the NHTF and NLIHC's proposed changes to the mortgage interest deduction are described below.

¹ For a full list of campaign endorsers go to: <http://nlihc.org/unitedforhomes/endorsers>

² Extremely low income is 30% of area median income. It is \$19,800 in Lansing, MI and \$19,320 in Detroit, MI,

³ Affordability means paying no more than 30% of household income on housing costs, including utilities.

⁴ See *Housing Spotlight* at <http://nlihc.org/article/housing-spotlight-volume-3-issue-1>.

⁵ For additional data on low income housing needs nationwide, go to <http://nlihc.org/library/SHP>

What is the National Housing Trust Fund?

The NHTF was authorized in the Housing and Economic Recovery Act (HERA) of 2008 specifically to address the housing needs of extremely low income households.⁶ The NHTF is a block grant to states that once funded can be used to produce, preserve, rehabilitate, and operate rental homes for ELI and very low income households. At least 90% of the funds must be used for rental housing and at least 75% of the funds must benefit extremely low income households. The NHTF is intended to be a permanent program with dedicated sources of funding, not subject to the annual appropriations process.

The funds are to be distributed by formula, based on factors detailed in the statute. With a \$5 billion investment, Michigan would receive \$146.1 million.

The NHTF was initially to be funded by contributions from the government sponsored enterprises (GSEs) Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. However, shortly after HERA was enacted the financial crisis occurred and the GSEs were taken into conservatorship by their regulator, the Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA). FHFA suspended payments to the NHTF. HERA specifies that Congress can allocate other funds to fund the NHTF beyond the GSE contributions, but Congress has not done so to date. Consequently the NHTF has yet to be funded.

HUD has developed regulations to implement the NHTF and OMB expects to release the final version of the regulations in May, 2013.⁷ The program is ready to be implemented as soon as funds are made available.

Funding the NHTF through Changes to the Mortgage Interest Deduction

The mortgage interest deduction, valued at between \$70 and \$93 billion for 2013, is the second largest federal tax expenditure. It is also widely considered an inefficient and ineffective way for the federal government to subsidize housing. Only taxpayers who have enough income and a big enough mortgage to itemize on their tax returns benefit from the mortgage interest deduction. Just 24% of all taxpayers claim the mortgage interest deduction and only 51% of all taxpayers who pay mortgage interest claim the deduction. Among taxpayers who do claim the mortgage interest deduction, the top 55% of taxpayers who claimed the deduction received 78% of the benefit.

Congress has a unique opportunity to modify the mortgage interest deduction through comprehensive tax reform to ensure that more homeowners receive a benefit for interest paid on their mortgages and to distribute federal investments in housing to more accurately reach where resources are needed.

We propose two key changes to the mortgage interest deduction:

⁶ 12 USC § 4568

⁷ To see the proposed rule go to <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2009-12-04/pdf/E9-28984.pdf>

- First, NLIHC proposes to reduce the cap on interest for which a household can receive a tax benefit from \$1 million plus \$100,000 in home equity loans to \$500,000. NLIHC proposes that second homes and home equity loans be included under this cap.

Analysis of Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA) data conducted for NLIHC by the National Community Reinvestment Corporation (NCRC) shows that between 2007-2011 only 4% of mortgages nationwide were valued at more than \$500,000. In 41 states and Puerto Rico, 3% or less of all mortgages were for more than \$500,000. California, the District of Columbia, and Hawaii are the outliers with 15-20% of mortgages valued above \$500,000. In Michigan, only 0.7% of mortgages are above \$500,000.⁸

- Second, NLIHC proposes converting the current deduction to a 15% nonrefundable credit.

The conversion to a credit makes the mortgage interest tax break available to a larger and less wealthy home owning population than receives it now. Based on an analysis commissioned by NLIHC by the Tax Policy Center, the number of homeowners to get a tax break would expand from 39 million to 55 million and 99% of the increase would be taxpayers with annual income of \$100,000 or less.

- These two changes would be phased on over five years as follows:
 - Reducing the current maximum of \$1,000,000 to \$900,000 in the first year after enactment, and by an additional \$100,000 for each subsequent year until the permanent limit of \$500,000 is reached in five years.
 - Allowing taxpayers to claim only 80 percent of eligible mortgage interest in the first year, decreasing by 20 percentage points every year until the mortgage interest deduction is completely eliminated in 2018.
 - With respect to the 15% credit, allowing taxpayers to claim a nonrefundable credit equal to three percent of eligible mortgage interest in the first year, increasing by three percentage points per year until hitting the target 15% in the fifth year.

The analysis by the Tax Policy Center shows that these two changes, phased-in over five years would raise \$197 billion in revenue over ten years.⁹ We propose that this new revenue be directed to the NHTF.

Why Use Reform of the Mortgage Interest Deduction to Fund the NHTF

We readily acknowledge proposing to fund a federal trust fund by raising revenue through reform of a federal tax expenditure is unorthodox. But it is the kind of creative, out-of-the box thinking that is required in this era of diminishing resources for low income housing programs.

⁸ To see the percentage of mortgages over \$500,000 in each state go to, http://nlihc.org/sites/default/files/U4H_500k-mortgages-percent.pdf

⁹ To read the report by the Tax Policy Center on which these calculations are based, go to <http://www.taxpolicycenter.org/UploadedPDF/412768-Options-to-Reform-the-MID.pdf>

The transfer mechanism we propose is quite simple. The Treasury Secretary would allocate to the NHTF the amount of the score of the changes to the tax code at the point of enactment for that year and each year after for ten years, thus creating a ten year revenue stream to the NHTF on the mandatory side of the budget.

The primary advantages to this proposal is that the shortage of rental housing for extremely low income people can be addressed, and homelessness ended, without increasing costs to the federal government. The federal government just needs to use its housing subsidies better. Another key advantage is that not only are very poor renters helped, but so are low and moderate income homeowners.

We offer this proposal as a path forward to funding the NHTF in a deficit neutral manner in the context of comprehensive tax reform. If other paths present themselves as tax reform unfolds, we would consider them as long as the funding source is on the mandatory side of the budget and does not take away resources from other programs that serve ELI households.

Support for Modernizing the Mortgage Interest Deduction and Funding Housing for the Very Poor

There is bipartisan support for applying savings achieved through a modernization of the mortgage interest deduction to housing programs that serve the very poor. The Bipartisan Policy Center's Bipartisan Housing Commission said in its report *Housing America's Future*, "A portion of any revenue generated from changes in tax subsidies for homeownership should be devoted to expanding support for rental housing programs for low-income populations in need of affordable housing."

Likewise, public opinion research shows that while the mortgage interest deduction is popular with the American population. In fact, 60% of Americans support the two changes to the mortgage interest deduction proposed by NLIHC. Americans also support expanding federal resources for affordable housing in order to end homelessness. More than two-thirds (68%) of Americans would use some or all of the revenue raised by NLIHC's proposed changes to the mortgage interest deduction to expand the supply of affordable housing in order to end homelessness.¹⁰

Legislative momentum is growing around both modernizing the mortgage interest deduction and applying the revenue raised from the changes to affordable housing. Representative Keith Ellison (D-MN) has introduced legislation, the Common-Sense Housing Investment Act (H.R. 1213) that incorporates NLIHC's proposed changes to the mortgage interest deduction and applies the savings to the National Housing Trust Fund and other housing programs. NLIHC has endorsed the legislation.

¹⁰ For more information on the public opinion research referenced here, go to http://nlihc.org/sites/default/files/2013_mid_survey_presentation.pdf

Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions or would like to discuss NLIHC's proposal further.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Sheila Crowley". The signature is written in a cursive style and is positioned to the right of the word "Sincerely,".

Sheila Crowley
President and CEO
National Low Income Housing Coalition